AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT I	D CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 of 2	
				5. PROJE	PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
0001	7 July 2004					
6. ISSUED BY 2211 CODE	N66001	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE			DDE	
SPAWAR SYSTEMS CENTER, SAN DIEGO 53560 HULL ST., BLDG A33, RM 1602W SAN DIEGO CA 92152-5002 CODE 2211 ANGELA KING 619-553-5829 Angela.king@navy.mil						
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., stre	eet, county, State and ZIP Code	)	(X)	9A. AMENDMENT C	F SOLICITA	TION NO.
				N66001-04-R-0043		
		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)				
		16 June 2004				
				10A. MODIFICATION	1 OF CONTI	RACT/ORDER NO.
			X	10B. DATED (SEE IT	FEM 40)	
	FACILITY CODE			10B. DATED (SEE II	EM 13)	
CODE	EM ONLY APPLIES TO	AMENIDMENTS		DUCITATIONS		
						is not extended
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set				X	is extended,	L
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prio  (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning						1
submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE R If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an o to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received p 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If r	ECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR T ffer already submitted, such cha rior to the opening hour and dat	O THE HOUR AND DA ange may be made by t	ATE SP	ECIFIED MAY RESULT	T IN REJECT	OWLEDGMENT TO BE TION OF YOUR OFFER. or letter makes reference
13. THIS ITEM	APPLIES ONLY TO MC	DIFICATION OF	CONT	RACTS/ORDERS	<del>,</del>	
	ES THE CONTRACT/OF	RDER NO. AS DE	SCRI	BED IN ITEM 14.		
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURS 10A.				14 ARE MADE IN THE		
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/OF appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN IT	RDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLE TEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE A	CT THE ADMINISTRA AUTHORITY OF FAR 4	TIVE CI 3.103(b	HANGES (such as cha )).	nges in payiı	ng office,
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMEN	T IS ENTERED INTO PURS	SUANT TO AUTHOR	RITY O	F:		
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification	and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sign this degum	cont and return	conie	to the issuing office		
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION					where feasib	ole.)
This amendment to solicitation N66001-04-F Questionnaire detailed on the following page	R-0043 extends the closi					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of th	e document referenced in Item	9A or 10A, as heretofo	re chan	ged, remains unchange	ed and in full	force and effect.
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				F SIGNER (Type or p		
	1	Charmair Contracting		rorski		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STA	TES O	F AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY MAN (Signature o	MA f Contra	- following Officers	nohi	1/4/04

(Signature of person authorized to sign)
NSN 7540-01-152-8070
PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE
ConWrite Version 4.2.7
Created 19 Mar 2002 2:41 PM

30-105

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV.10-83)
Prescribed by GSA
FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

1.) The closing date of the solicitation is extended as follows:

**Delete:** 15 July 2004 3:00 P.M. Pacific Standard Time **Insert:** 20 July 2004 3:00 P.M. Pacific Standard Time

2.) Attachment 4, Past Performance Questionnaire is changed as follows: (A copy is attached)

Insert: Past Performance Questionnaire, 4 pages.

[DATE] Page 1 of 4

# SPAWAR Systems Center – San Diego

**SPAWAR** 

Charmaine Joworski, CODE 221 53560 Hull Street, Bldg. A-33 San Diego, CA 92152-5001

FAX — X	
TO:	AGENCY:
PHONE:	EMAIL:

## INFORMATION REQUEST PAST PERFORMANCE

This office is currently in the process of awarding a competitive service contract. [CONTRACTOR NAME] has provided your name and organization as a reference regarding [CONTRACTOR'S NAME] record of past performance under Contract No. [CONTRACT NO.]. Specifically, we are looking for past performance information regarding the following areas:

- a.) Quality of Product or Service Conformance to contract requirements, specifications and standards of good workmanship, accuracy of reports, appropriateness of personnel, and technical excellence;
- b.) <u>Cost Control</u> Within budget, current accurate and complete billings, actual cost/rates reflect closely to negotiated cost/rates, cost efficiency measures, adequate budgetary internal controls;
- c.) <u>Schedule</u> Timeliness of performance, met interim milestones, reliable, responsive to technical and contractual direction, completed on time, including wrap-up and contract administration, no liquidated damages assessed:
- d.) <u>Business Relationships</u> Effective management, businesslike correspondence, responsive to contract requirements, prompt notification of problems, reasonable/cooperative behavior, flexible, proactive, effective Contractor recommended solutions, customer satisfaction;
- e.) <u>Compliance with FAR 52.219-8</u> Effective program to maximize the participation of small business concerns per FAR 52.219-8 "Utilization of Small Business Concerns":
- f.) <u>Key Personnel</u> How long key personnel stayed on the contract, how well they managed their portion of the contract, the quality and relevancy of the products/services generated by key personnel.

In order for our team to compile its evaluation, we request that you complete the attached survey form and email it, and any other pertinent information, within ten (10) working days to angela.king@navy.mil. Any relevant information you have would be vital in our assessment of the aforementioned Contractor.

Thank you very much!
CHARMAINE JOWORSKI
Contracting Officer

[DATE] Page 2 of 4

#### **CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SURVEY**

CONTRACTOR NAME:	CONTRACT NUMBER:				
EVALUATION PERIOD:	DELIVERY ORDER NO.:				
GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVE:					
Name (print)	Cod	de	Phone	M 100	
Please read the statements below, indicating your rela	ative level of ag	reement	in the box prov	ided:	
	EXCEPTIONAL	VERY GOOD	SATISFACTORY	MARGINAL	NOT SAITISFACTORY
a.) QUALITY OF PRODUCT OR SERVICE:					
(1) The Contractor provided a product or service that conformed to contract requirements, specifications, and standards of good workmanship.					
(2) The Contractor submitted accurate reports.					
(3) The Contractor utilized personnel that were appropriate to the effort performed.					
(4) The contractor provided technical excellence. b.) COST CONTROL:					
(1) The Contractor performed the effort within the estimated cost/price.					
(2) The Contractor submitted accurate and complete invoices on a imely basis.					
The Contractor demonstrated cost efficiencies in performing the equired effort.	2.00				
4) The actual costs/rates realized closely reflected the negotiated costs/rates.					
5) The Contractor had adequate budgetary internal controls.				-1	
1) The Contractor performed in a timely manner and in accordance with milestones and the period of performance of the contract.					
2) The Contractor was reliable and responsive to technical and/or contractual direction.					
3) The Contractor completed the effort on time, including wrap-up and contract administration and no liquidated damages were assessed.					
NOTE: The second					

NOTE: For statements indicating "Exceptional" or "Not Satisfactory," please provide a brief explanation on the attached page.

[DATE] Page 3 of 4

#### **CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SURVEY CONTINUED**

CONTRACTOR NAME:	CONTRACT NUMBER:				
	EXCEPTIONAL	VERY GOOD	SATISFACTORY	MARGINAL	NOT SAITISFACTORY
d.) BUSINESS RELATIONSHIPS:					
(1) The Contractor demonstrated effective management over the effort performed.					
(2) The Contractor's provided professional and businesslike correspondence.					
(3) The Contractor was responsive to contract requirements and provided prompt notification of problems.				·	
(4) The Contractor was reasonable/cooperative, flexible and proactive.					
(5) The Contractor provided effective Contractor recommended solutions to issues/problems.					
- ) COMPLIANCE WITH EAD FO 040 0.					
e.) COMPLIANCE WITH FAR 52.219-8:  (1) The Contractor had an effective program to maximize the participation of small business concerns.					
f.) KEY PERSONNEL:					
(1) The labor turnover in key personnel labor categories was minimal and did not adversely affect Contractor performance.					
(2) The Contractor's key personnel managed their portion of the contract well.					
(3) The Contractor's key personnel provided quality and relevant products/services.					

NOTE: For statements indicating "Exceptional" or "Not Satisfactory," please provide a brief explanation on the attached page.

[DATE] Page 4 of 4

### **CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SURVEY CONTINUED**

CONTRACTOR NAME:		CONTRACT NUMBER:
NARRATIVE EXPLANAT	ΓΙΟΝ:	
	·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		·
	A Section of the sect	> . :
<del></del>		
	·	
	·	
	·	
	; a	